## **Equality Screening Form**

	Question	Response
Q1)	Name of the strategy, policy, programme or project being assessed.	Proposed Submission Single Issue Review (SIR) of Core Strategy Policy CS7 Overall Housing Provision and Distribution
Q2)	In no more than five lines and using Plain English, summarise the purpose of the policy or proposal, and its desired outcomes.	The Single Issue Review is a review of Policy CS7 in the adopted Forest Heath Core Strategy. Policy CS7 was deleted from the Core Strategy following a High Court Order. The SIR is a review of Core Strategy Policy CS7 that plans for overall housing growth and the distribution of this growth in the district to 2031 (the end of the local plan period).
Q3)	Who should benefit from the proposal and in what way?	The commitment to provide a supply of housing land that is available to deliver new housing in the district to the end of the plan period is intended to benefit all individuals who live in Forest Heath.
Q4	Is there any evidence or reason to believe that in relation to this proposal, there may be a difference in:	The formal consultation part of the planning process is mainly through written representations, but the council has also carried out public exhibitions and meetings at each stage of the process to increase levels of participation.
	<ul> <li>Levels of participation</li> <li>Uptake by different groups</li> <li>Needs or experiences of different groups</li> <li>Priorities</li> <li>Other areas?</li> </ul>	
Q5)	Using the evidence listed above, fill in the table below to highlight the groups you think this policy or proposal has the potential to impact upon:	The provision of new housing across the district gives rise to opportunities for positive impact to promote equality of opportunity. These are listed in the table below.
	(i) Is there any potential for negative impact? Yes or No	It is possible that at the level of individual new developments there will be externalities that may negatively impact on existing

	(ii)	Are there opportunities for positive impact or to promote equality of opportunity?	residents. However, these will be considered and dealt with through the development management process as individual applications come forward.
Q6	•	ng your answers to questions 1-5, do you Full Equality Impact Assessment is	No
Q7	disadvanta	ng our duty to proactively tackle age and promote equality of opportunity, tions required.	N/A

Impacts Table				
	Is there potential for negative impact?	Are there opportunities for positive impact? YES or NO	If YES, please provide details of the impact below	
			Positive Impact	Negative Impact
All groups or society generally	NO	YES	Policy CS7 plans positively for the future of the district, in terms of providing sufficient number of dwellings to meet the council's full objectively assessed need for housing to be delivered in the	

			period 2011 to 2031 and indicating the broad distribution of housing numbers to the market towns, key service centres and the four primary villages.
<b>Age</b> - Older or younger people	NO	YES	An increase in housing supply will offer more choice of types of units, for example, housing for older people, and more affordable housing for young people.
<b>Disability -</b> People with a disability	NO	YES	As above, an increase in housing supply will positively impact on the range and size and type of housing, including for disabled people.
Sex - Women or men	NO	YES	(Positive – because overall impact on all

			groups is positive)
<b>Pregnancy or maternity</b> - including expectant or new parents i.e. pregnancy and maternity	NO	YES	(Positive – because overall impact on all groups is positive)
Marriage and civil partnership – including same sex couples	NO	YES	(Positive – because overall impact on all groups is positive)
Race - People who are black or from a minority ethnic background (BME)	NO	YES	(Positive – because overall impact on all groups is positive)
<b>Religion -</b> People with a religion or belief (or who choose not to have a religion or belief)	NO	YES	(Positive – because overall impact on all groups is positive)
Sexual Orientation - People who are lesbian, gay or bisexual (LGB) or in a Civil Partnership	NO	YES	(Positive – because overall impact on all groups is positive)
Gender Reassignment - People who are transitioning from one gender to another	NO	YES	(Positive – because overall impact on all groups is positive)
Families and those with parenting or caring responsibilities (The Families Test)	NO	YES	(Positive – because overall impact on all groups is positive)
Individuals on low income	NO	YES	The policy will

			positively impact on the affordability of housing	
Those suffering rural isolation	NO	YES	The policy will result in more housing in the primary villages which may result in the enhancement or new provision of supporting infrastructure.	
Those who do not have English as a first language	NO	YES	(Positive – because overall impact on all groups is positive)	

Action Plan					
Equality group/ characteristic	Action/milestone	Responsibility (Project manager or partner organisation)	Achievement date	Monitoring arrangements	

## Sign off section

This Screening Level EqIA was completed by:

Name Liz Barnard

**Job Title** Service Manager (Corporate Policy)

**Signature** EH Barnard (signed)

**Date** 21/03/2017

On completion, please submit this document with the policy or proposal. Guidance and advice on draft and final versions can be obtained from:

Tanya Sturman, Corporate Policy Team 01638 719473

tanya.sturman@westsuffolk.gov.uk